

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Batent and Trademark Office Address: OMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. G. BOX 1450 Advandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,213	08/01/2003	Thane Michael Larson	200311228-1	3229	
22879	7590 06/01/2006		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT I	PACKARD COMPA	NY	ALPHONSE, FRITZ		
P O BOX 272	400, 3404 E. HARMON				
	JAL PROPERTY ADM		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		2133			

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	10/633,213	1/2	LARSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	10'	Art Unit				
,		1					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Fritz Alphonse	et with the c	2133 orrespondence address				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMM 36(a). In no event, however, m vill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	UNICATION hay a reply be tim ) MONTHS from me ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u>	larch 2006.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-10 and 13-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 4-10, 13-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)[ drawing(s) be held in ab tion is required if the dra	peyance. See wing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)	🗖						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Pape 5) Notice	view Summary r No(s)/Mail Da e of Informal P r:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

0.1 This office action is in response to amendment filed on 3/06/2006. Claims 2, 3,

11, 12 are canceled, claims 1, 10, 27 are amended.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 4-10, 13-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olarig (U.S. Pat. No. 6,038,680) in view of Berney (U.S. Pat. No. 6,299,068).

As to claim 10, Olarig (figs. 1- 4) shows an apparatus to visually locate a memory module (55) in a memory system (37) with a plurality of memory modules (col. 2, lines 37-47), the apparatus comprising: a system board (see figure 4; col. 3, lines 26-45; col. 5, lines 32-47) including a memory controller (29) and a plurality of memory module slots (54) on the system board (i.e., motherboard 53, 57); and a plurality of memory modules seated in the plurality of memory module slots (fig. 4; col. 5, lines 48-55); and a beacon unit (i.e., registers 58) on a memory module with a beacon device and control circuitry for turning on the beacon device when an electronic communication to turn on the beacon device is received by that memory module (col. 6, lines 1-18).

Olarig differs from claim 10 in that he does not teach "the deacon device comprises an electromechanical device that remains activated even in the absence of power." However, the limitation is obvious and very well known in the art, as evidenced by Berney (see col. 29, lines 41-45).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,213

Art Unit: 2133

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to combine Olarig's system with the opto-electronic device, as disclosed by Berney. Doing so would provide highly reliable communication links among signals even after complete loss of power, and acknowledgements of all alarm and control messages.

As to claims 13-15, Olarig discloses an apparatus, wherein the memory modules comprise dual in-line memory modules (DIMMs); and, wherein the memory modules comprise single in-line memory modules (SIMMs). See column 5, lines 33-47.

As to claims 16-21, Olarig discloses an apparatus, wherein the system board comprises a computer motherboard; the system board comprises a cell board. Olarig (fig. 5) discloses an apparatus, further comprising a memory error interface unit (72) on the system board that is configured to send the appropriate electronic communication to the memory module (col. 5, lines 33-47).

As to claims 22-26, Olarig discloses an apparatus, wherein the beacon unit (i.e., registers 58) further comprises a second beacon device and control circuitry for turning on the second beacon device. The beacon device and the second beacon device are of different colors to visually distinguish them (col. 6, lines 1-18).

As to claim 27, Olarig (figs. 1-4) shows a system for visually locating a memory module, the system comprising: means for receiving an electronic communication by circuitry on the memory module to be visually located (col. 2, lines 20-28); means for activating a beacon state in the memory module due to receipt of the electronic communication (col. 6, lines 1-18); and means for electronically turning on a beacon

Application/Control Number: 10/633,213

Art Unit: 2133

device on the memory module when the beacon state is activated to draw attention to that memory module (col. 5, lines 49-54; col. 7, lines 59 through col. 8 line 3).

As to claim 1, method claim 1 corresponds to apparatus claim 10; therefore, it is analyzed as previously discussed in claim 10 above.

As to claims 4-5 Olarig (figs. 1-4) discloses a method, wherein the beacon state is activated by programming a flag bit in a register on the memory module (fig. 6; col. 9, lines 64 through col. 10 line 6).

As to claims 6-9, method claims 6-9 correspond to apparatus claim 10; therefore, they are analyzed as previously discussed in claim 10 above.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3813. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,213

Art Unit: 2133

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at (571) 272-3819.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)

272-3824.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2133

May 26, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5